

Goal

To improve student retention in STEM disciplines, and thus increase the number of graduates in STEM fields, by reforming the curricula of the Engineering and Life Sciences Calculus sequences at USF.

Motivation

- At USF, 6-yr graduation rates are < 60% for STEM majors **but > 80% for business, nursing and education majors.**
- Passing rates (C or better needed to advance to the next course) average 55% for Engineering Calculus I, II and III and Life Sciences Calculus I and II.
- Students often change their major before even taking a class in it, due to loss of motivation in basic science and math courses and failure to see the relevance to their major.

Thrusts

Project Based Calculus Instruction

- Introduction of "bridge" projects into Engineering **Calculus II and III and Life Sciences Calculus II by giving** students the option of replacing the final exam with a project.
- Students work with a science faculty member or supervisor in their workplace to define a problem, write and analyze appropriate equations, and write a narrative report – in essence, they write a story problem, and then answer it and write it up as a scientific report

Peer Leading

- Undergraduate peer leaders lead weekly, 50 minute cooperative learning inquiry sessions in Engineering and Life Science Calc. I.
- Curricula developed by faculty and graduate students focus on guiding students to discover concepts of calculus prior to lecture. Algebra and trigonometry warm ups are included.

STEM Mart

- Undergraduate tutors staff a "one-stop" tutoring lab with evening and weekend hours.
- They provide assistance in calculus and in introductory science courses such as chemistry, physics and biology.

Rationale

Calculus F	Passing Rates	- 2006
-------------------	---------------	--------

Section	No help session	Help session
	or project	OR project

Morning Evening

49% 45%

59% (help session) 67% (projects)

A STEP to Grow in Science-Engineering-Mathematics Undergraduate Degrees Kandethody Ramachandran^a (PI), Catherine Bénéteau^a, Scott Campbell^b, Gordon Fox^c, Arcadii Grinshpan^a, Jennifer Lewis^d, Marcus McWaters^a Departments of ^a Mathematics and Statistics, ^b Chemical Engineering, ^c Integrative Biology and ^d Chemistry **University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620**

Focus on Peer Leading

Help session **AND project**

85% N/A

- Fall 2008: A team of a professor, graduate student, and undergraduate in Mathematics attended a chemistry faculty's weekly training session for peer leaders in General Chemistry. This team developed discovery activities for Engineering Calculus I and training materials for peer leaders.
- **Spring 2009: First implementation of peer leading in two sections** of Engineering Calculus I, with 4 peer leaders, 2 graduate student trainers, and 1 faculty trainer.
- **Summer 2009: Revision of classroom activities and training** materials. Development of Life Sciences Calculus I discovery activities.
- **Fall 2009:** Full implementation of peer leading in all 5 **Engineering Calculus I and 6 sections of Life Sciences Calculus I,** with 18 peer leaders, for 275 Engineering and 180 Life Sciences students. Continuing revision of materials. Data collection for these 11 sections of calculus. See Fig. 1 for initial comparison
- **Spring 2010: Beginning data analysis of Fall 2009 grades and the** effect of peer leading. Peer leading extended to 12 sections of Life **Sciences Calculus I and continues in all 5 sections of Engineering** Calculus I. Presently teaching 275 students in Engineering and **312 in Life Sciences Calculus. Recruitment of 2 new peer leaders. Data collection anticipated for the end of the semester.**



<u>Figure 1</u>. Effect of peer leading (top) and projects (bottom) on passing rates, by class section. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals. The top graph is for Life Science (LIF) Calculus I sections. The bottom graph is for both Engineering (ENG) and Life Science **Calculus II sections; all LIF sections offered the project option.**

Fall 2008 – Spring 2010



Semester

- week's activity.

- were not effective.

Challenges in the Peer Leading Thrust

- classroom.

- **PIs and staff.**

The National Science Foundation (grant DUE-0756847) and the College of Arts and Sciences, the College of Engineering and the Center for 21st **Century Teaching Excellence at the University of South Florida are** gratefully acknowledged for their financial support



Structure of a Peer-led Activity

Pre-assignment, which must be completed before class, includes practice with algebra, often a missing skill. At the beginning of every peer-led session, there is a short quiz based on this pre-assignment and the previous

Student group structure: Students work in groups of 4. Each student has a different "role" (manager, recorder, spokesperson, and strategy analyst), and these roles rotate each week.

Heart of the activity: groups work on discovery activities, structured to include discovery of a concept, concept formulation, and then concept application. The peer leader facilitates classroom discussions and provides support where difficulties occur.

End of session: students summarize what they have learned and reflect on learning strategies that were or

Recruiting enough peer leaders to run all sections of calculus seems difficult.

Faculty buy-in may be an issue.

Undergraduate student resistance to innovations in the

Adjustments in Other Thrusts

In Fall 2009, STEM Mart was moved to a more centralized location at the library. This move has increased student use of STEM Mart and has made data tracking easier.

We developed a Wiki for the Life Science Calc II projects and are now expanding it to include all projects and to promote communication among the co-

We have initiated our online undergraduate journal. Articles are based on the best projects submitted, in both Engineering and in Life Sciences Calc II.